# University of Michigan Winter 2020 Instructor Report With Comments EECS 388-020: Intro Comp Security Jason Kim

11 out of 34 students responded to this evaluation.

# Responses to University-wide questions about the course:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	Univ- wide Median	School/College Median
This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter. (Q1631)	5	6	0	0	0	0	4.4	4.5	4.4
My interest in the subject has increased because of this course. (Q1632)	3	8	0	0	0	0	4.2	4.2	4.1
I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)	2	6	3	0	0	0	3.9	4.5	4.3
Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)	3	8	0	0	0	0	4.2	4.3	4.2
I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)	2	9	0	0	0	0	4.1	4.1	4.0
As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was (SA=Much Lighter, A=Lighter, N=Typical, D=Heavier, SD=Much Heavier). (Q891)	0	0	8	3	0	0	2.8	3.0	2.9
How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (SA=Very Positively Affected, A=Somewhat Positively Affected, N=No Effect, D=Somewhat Negatively Affected, SD=Very Negatively Affected) (Q1840)*	0	0	3	8	0	0	2.2	2.4	2.3

# Responses to University-wide questions about the instructor:

	SA	Α	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	Univ-wide Median	School/College Median
Overall, Jason Kim was an excellent teacher.(Q2)	8	1	1	0	0	1	4.9	4.6	4.5
Jason Kim seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)	8	1	1	0	0	1	4.9	4.8	4.7
Jason Kim explained material clearly.(Q199)	7	2	1	0	0	1	4.8	4.7	4.6
Jason Kim treated students with respect.(Q217)	8	1	1	0	0	1	4.9	4.8	4.8

## Responses to questions about the instructor:

	SA	Α	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	University-Wide Median
Jason Kim has good English skills. (Q378)	9	1	0	0	0	1	4.9	4.7
Jason Kim was available throughout the designated laboratory hours. (Q771)	8	2	0	0	0	1	4.9	4.8
Jason Kim thoroughly understood the subject matter. (Q772)	8	2	0	0	0	1	4.9	4.7
Jason Kim was sensitive/patient to the level of student comprehension. (Q773)	7	3	0	0	0	1	4.8	4.6
Overall, Jason Kim was effective. (Q776)	8	2	0	0	0	1	4.9	4.6

The medians are calculated from Winter 2020 data. University-wide medians are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college medians in this report are based on classes that are upper division with enrollment of 16 to 74 in College of Engineering.

### Written Comments

What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841)\*

### Comments

Online interactive labs.

previous year video recording

Recordings works well for me.

Videoconferencing and recordings worked very well for discussion sections

Discussion sections still ran smoothly in the online format.

questions on attendance form, project walkthrough

Video recordings.

What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842)\*

### Comments

Personal motivation and managing personal life dilemmas.

asking questions during lecture not possible

Personal motivation is the greatest challenge.

Motivation

Timezone differences made it difficult to attend live discussions/office hours, and personal motivation was low.

personal motivation

Internet connectivity.

### Please comment on the effectiveness of Jason Kim. (Q912)

### Comments

Good teacher overall.

n/a

Jason Kim is an excellent IA.

Feedback also for Prerana

Overall a great discussion section teacher and helpful in office hours/piazza. It is very clear that he puts in a lot of work to try and make the class as good as possible.

Great IA:)

<sup>\*</sup> Due to the unexpected shift to remote instruction, questions 1840, 1841, and 1842 were added to all end-of-term Winter 2020 teaching evaluations.